

L16: Prediction function

1. Prediction function

(1) Prediction function

For $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = B'\mathbf{x} + \epsilon$, with B estimated by $\hat{B} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ based on data matrices $Y \in R^{n \times p}$ and $X \in R^{n \times q}$, the regression function $E(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = B'\mathbf{x}$ is estimated by prediction function $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{B}'\mathbf{x}$. So when \mathbf{x} is given, the estimated $E(y)$ and predicted $y(x)$, $\hat{y}(x)$, can be calculated.

(2) Fitted value matrix

With available $\mathbf{x}_i \in R^q$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, in $X' = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in R^{q \times n}$,

$$(\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}_n)) = \hat{B}'X' \stackrel{\text{Denoted}}{=} \hat{Y}'.$$

Here $\hat{Y} = X\hat{B} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ is the fitted value matrix. This matrix is estimated $E(Y) = XB$.

(3) Residual matrix

In $Y = XB + \mathcal{E}'$, $\mathcal{E}' = Y - XB$ is predicted by $Y - X\hat{B} = Y - \hat{Y} = [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y$ called the residual matrix. In $Y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] = (\mathbf{y}_1 - \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \mathbf{y}_n - \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}_n))$, the columns are residuals based on the data from which \hat{B} was obtained.

(4) Error matrix

Recall that the error matrix $E = (Y - X\hat{B})'(Y - X\hat{B}) = (Y - \hat{Y})'(Y - \hat{Y}) = Y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y$. MLE of Σ is $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{E}{n}$ and the UE for Σ is $\frac{E}{n-p}$.

2. Computation for \hat{Y} and $Y - \hat{Y}$.

(1) Computing \hat{Y} and $Y - \hat{Y}$ column after column.

$$\text{For } \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_p \end{pmatrix} = B'\mathbf{x} + \epsilon,$$

the i th column of \hat{Y} is produced by the univariate regression of the i th component of \mathbf{y} on $\mathbf{x} \in R^q$,

$$\hat{Y} = X\hat{B} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y \iff \hat{Y}e_i = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Ye_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

The i th column of $Y - \hat{Y}$ is produced by the univariate regression of the i th component of \mathbf{y} on $\mathbf{x} \in R^q$,

$$Y - \hat{Y} = [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Y \iff (Y - \hat{Y})e_i = [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']Ye_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

(2) SAS

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2/p;
run;
```

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2/noint p;
run;
```

Here “p” stands for Predict. In the output, the three columns of Y and $Y - \hat{Y}$ will be displayed in the output for the univariate regression for y_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Comment: Once $Y - \hat{Y}$ is available, $E = (Y - \hat{Y})'(Y - \hat{Y})$. But since $Y - \hat{Y} \in R^{p \times n}$, with large n this method may not be practical. We will see later that SAS can display E for us.

(3) Use prediction function

With given new values for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p$, the $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_p)$ and $E[\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_p)]$ are predicted and estimated by the same value of the prediction function $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}_p) = \hat{B}'\mathbf{x}_p$. SAS can calculate this value component after component in univariate regression for each component of \mathbf{y} .

<pre>data a; infile "D:\Ex.txt"; input y1 y2 x1 x2 @@; data b; input y1 y2 x1 x2; datalines; . . 1.2 -3.5 . . 2 -6 ;</pre>	<pre>data c; set a b; proc reg; model y1 y2=x1 x2/p; run; proc reg; model y1 y2=x1 x2/noint p; run;</pre>
--	---

3. Hypothesis testing

(1) Matrix B

In $\mathbf{y} = B'\mathbf{x} + \epsilon$, each component of $B \in R^{q \times p}$ is associated with a particular predictor in $\mathbf{x} \in R^q$ and a particular component of $\mathbf{y} \in R^p$.

Ex1: For $\mathbf{y} \in R^2$, in $\mathbf{y} = B' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon$, $x_1 \begin{bmatrix} y1 & y2 \\ \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} \\ \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{bmatrix} = B$.

(2) Hypotheses

Many hypothesis are rendered as $H_1BH_2 = D$.

Ex2: For the model in Ex1,

- (i) x_1 does not contribute anything to $y_2 \iff \beta_{12} = 0 \iff (0, 1, 0)B \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.
- (ii) When x_1 increases by 1, the increment in $E(y_1)$ and in $E(y_2)$ are equal $\iff \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} \iff (0, 1, 0)B \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.
- (iii) x_1 contributes nothing to the model $\iff \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = 0 \iff (0, 1, 0)BI_2 = (0, 0)$.
- (iv) The model is useless $\iff \beta_{ij} = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2 \iff (0, I_2)B = \mathbf{0}$.

(3) Likelihood ratio and Wilk's Lambda

From sample $Y = XB + \mathcal{E}'$ where $\mathcal{E} \sim N_{n \times p}(XB, I_n, \Sigma)$, the likelihood function $L(B, \Sigma) \leq L(\hat{B}, \hat{\Sigma}) = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi e}\right)^{np/2} |E|^{-n/2}$ where the error matrix $E = (Y - X\hat{B})'(Y - X\hat{B})$.

Under H_0 , the model is converted to a reduced model with error matrix $E_r = (Y - X\hat{B}_0)'(Y - X\hat{B}_0)$ and $\max[L(B, \Sigma) : H_0] = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi e}\right)^{np/2} |E_r|^{-n/2}$.

Thus LR = $\frac{\max[L(B, \Sigma) : H_0]}{\max[L(B, \Sigma) : H_0]} = \left(\frac{|E|}{|E_r|}\right)^{-n/2}$ is a decreasing function of Wilk's Lambda, $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E_r|}$.

(4) LRT scheme

<p>$H_0 : , H_1BH_2 = D$ versus $H_a : H_1BH_2 \neq D$ Test statistic: $\Lambda = \frac{ E }{ E_r }$ p-value: $P(\Lambda \leq \Lambda_{ob} H_0)$</p>
--

L17: Tests in multivariate regression

1. SAS for multivariate tests

(1) Test scheme

$H_0 : \text{---}$ versus $H_a : \text{---}$
 Test Statistic: $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E_r|}$
 p-value: $P(\Lambda \leq \Lambda_{ob} | H_0)$.

 p-value: $P(\Lambda \leq \Lambda_{ob} | H_0) = P(\Lambda \leq \text{---} | H_0) \approx P(F(\text{---}, \text{---}) > \text{---}) = \text{---}$
 Conclusion ---

To complete the report fill in the blanks.

(2) SAS mtest statement

Consider model $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{01} \\ \beta_{02} \\ \beta_{03} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} \\ \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{13} \end{pmatrix} x_1 + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{21} \\ \beta_{22} \\ \beta_{23} \end{pmatrix} x_2 + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{31} \\ \beta_{32} \\ \beta_{33} \end{pmatrix} x_3 + \epsilon$

For $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$ versus $H_a : \beta_1 \neq 0$ or $\beta_2 \neq 0$

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2 x3/noprint;
  mtest x1, x2;
run;
```

For $H_0 : \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = \beta_{13}$ versus $H_a : \beta_{1i} \neq \beta_{1j}$ for some i, j

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2 x3/noprint;
  mtest y1-y2, y2-y3, x1/printe;
run;
```

printe: Print matrix E.

For $H_0 : \beta_{i1} = \beta_{i2}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$ versus $H_a : \beta_{i1} \neq \beta_{i2}$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2 x3/noprint;
  mtest y1-y2, x1, x2, x3;
run;
```

For $H_0 : \beta_{21} = \beta_{22} + 1$ versus $H_a : \beta_{21} \neq \beta_{22} + 1$

```
proc reg;
  model y1 y2 y3=x1 x2 x3/noprint;
  mtest y1-y2, x2=1;
run;
```

(3) Presenting report

$H_0 : \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = \beta_{13}$ vs $H_a : \beta_{1i} \neq \beta_{1j}$ for some $i, j = 1, 2, 3$
 Test Statistic: $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|T|}$
 p-value: $P(\Lambda < \Lambda_{ob} | H_0)$

$\Lambda = 0.9460$
 P-value: $P(\Lambda \leq 0.9460 | H_0) = P(F(2, 15) > 0.43) = 0.6596$
 Fail to reject H_0
 No evidence against $\beta_{11} = \beta_{21} = \beta_{31}$

2. Other statistics produced by mtest

- (1) Matrices E_r and H

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &= (Y - X\widehat{B}_0)'(Y - X\widehat{B}_0) = (Y - X\widehat{B} + X\widehat{B} - X\widehat{B}_0)'(Y - X\widehat{B} + X\widehat{B} - X\widehat{B}_0) \\ &= (Y - X\widehat{B})'(Y - X\widehat{B}) + (\widehat{B} - \widehat{B}_0)'X'X(\widehat{B} - \widehat{B}_0) = E + H = T \end{aligned}$$

In $T = E_r = E + H$, H is the difference between E and E_r caused by the Hypothesis.

- (2) Other three statistics

Three other statistics are also proposed as test statistics and displayed by SAS. They are

Statistics	value
Wilk's Lambda	$\frac{ E }{ E+H }$
Pillai's Trace	$\text{tr}[H(E+H)^{-1}]$
Hotelling-Lawley Trance	$\text{tr}(HE^{-1})$
Roy's greatest root	Largest eigenvalue of $E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2}$

- (3) Expressions using eigenvalues

Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$ be the eigenvalues of $E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2} = Q\Lambda Q'$.

- (i) Roy's greatest root: λ_1 .

- (ii) Hotelling-Lawley trace: $\text{tr}(HE^{-1}) = \text{tr}(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2}) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_p$.

- (iii) Pillai's trace: $\frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_p}{1+\lambda_p}$
- $$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[H(E+H)^{-1}] &= \text{tr}[(E^{1/2}(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2})E^{1/2}(E+H)^{-1})] \\ &= \text{tr}\{(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2})[E^{1/2}(E+H)^{-1}E^{1/2}]\} \\ &= \text{tr}[(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2})(I+E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2})^{-1}] \\ &= \text{tr}[Q\Lambda Q'Q(I+\Lambda)^{-1}Q'] = \text{tr}[\Lambda(I+\Lambda)^{-1}] = \sum_i \frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) Wilk's Lambda $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E+H|} = \frac{1}{|I+E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2}|} = \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_1)\dots(1+\lambda_p)}$.

- (4) Different approaches

Generally there are no function relations for the four statistics. So they represent 4 different test schemes and hence may produce different p-values. Wilk's Lambda is LRT statistic with approximated p-value produced.

3. Special cases

- (1) Cases of $\text{rank}(H) = 1$.

$\text{rank}(H) = 1 \implies \text{rank}(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2}) = 1 \implies \lambda_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, p$.

So Wilk's Lambda = $\frac{1}{1+\lambda_1}$. Pillai's trace = $\frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+\lambda_1}$

Hotelling-Lawley trace = Roy's greatest root = λ_1 .

In such a case the four statistics are functions each other. The four tests are hence equivalent.

- (2) One-sample tests

$\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ can be written as regression $\mathbf{y} = \mu + \epsilon$, i.e., $B' = \mu$ and $\mathbf{x} = 1$.

With data $Y \in R^{n \times p}$, $X = 1_n \in R^{n \times 1}$, $E = Y' \left(I - \frac{1_n 1_n'}{n} \right) Y = \text{CSSCP}$.

Under $H_0 : \mu = 0$, $\mathbf{y} = \epsilon$, with data $Y \in R^{n \times p}$ without X ,

$$E_r = Y'Y = Y' \left(I - \frac{1_n 1_n'}{n} + \frac{1_n 1_n'}{n} \right) Y = E + H$$

where $H = n\bar{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbf{x}}'$ has rank 1. Thus four tests displayed by SAS are equivalent.

- (3) For the case in (2)

$\Lambda = \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{n-1} \right)^{-1}$ where $T^2 = \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \left(\frac{S_{\mathbf{x}}}{n} \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} T^2(p, n-1) = \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} F(p, n-p)$.

Hence the p-values displayed are true without approximation.