

## L06 Extended definition of normal distributions

### 1. Extended definition of normal distributions

#### (1) Definitions

Random vector  $\mathbf{x} \in R^p$  has normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance-covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  denoted as  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  if  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  where  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_r)$  by its pdf and  $AA' = \Sigma$ . By the definition with  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_r)$  all  $A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  are normal.

#### (2) Extended definition

Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  by its pdf. Then  $\Sigma > 0$ . So  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  exists. Let  $\mathbf{z} = \Sigma^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)$ . Then  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I)$  and  $\mathbf{x} = \Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{z} + \mu$  with  $\Sigma^{1/2}(\Sigma^{1/2})' = \Sigma$ . Hence by the new definition,  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

#### (3) Support

The support of  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_r)$  is  $R^r$ , i.e., the values of  $\mathbf{z}$  occupy whole  $R^r$ . Thus the support of  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  is  $AR^r + \mu$ . Here  $AR^r = L(A)$  is the column space of  $A$ . But  $L(A) = L(AA') = L(\Sigma)$ . So  $\mathbf{x}$  has support  $\mu + L(\Sigma)$ .

**Ex1:**  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$  has support  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + L\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + L\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right]$ .

**Comment:** When  $\Sigma \in R^{p \times p}$  is singular,  $\mathbf{x}$  does not have a pdf, and the support is not  $R^p$ .

#### (4) A transformation

$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \implies \mathbf{y} = B\mathbf{x} + b \sim N(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B')$ .

**Proof**  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \iff \mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu, \mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_r)$  and  $AA' = \Sigma$ .

So  $\mathbf{y} = B\mathbf{x} + b = BA\mathbf{z} + B\mu + b$  with  $BA(BA)' = B\Sigma B'$ . Thus  $\mathbf{y} \sim N(B\mu + b, B\Sigma B')$ .

### 2. Probability and parameters

#### (1) Probability

$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subset R^p$ . Find  $P(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D})$ .

If  $\Sigma > 0$ , then pdf  $f(\mathbf{x})$  exists. So  $P(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}) = \iint_{\mathcal{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) dx_1, \dots, dx_p$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is singular, then  $\Sigma = AA'$ ,  $A$  has full column rank  $r$ . So  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  with  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_r)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_r = \{\mathbf{z} \in R^r : A\mathbf{z} + \mu \in \mathcal{D}\}$ . Then

$$P(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}) = P(A\mathbf{z} + \mu \in \mathcal{D}) = P(\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}_r) = \iint_{R^r} f_z(\mathbf{z}) dz_1, \dots, dz_r.$$

**Ex2:** For  $\mathbf{x} \sim N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$  let  $\mathcal{D} = \{-1 \leq X_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq X_2 \leq 10\}$ . Find  $P(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D})$ .

$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}'$ . So  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} Z + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $Z \sim (0, 1^2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}) &= P\left(\begin{pmatrix} Z+1 \\ Z+2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}\right) \\ &= P(-1 \leq Z+1 \leq 1, 0 \leq Z+2 \leq 10) = P(-2 \leq Z \leq 0, -2 \leq Z \leq 8) \\ &= P(-2 \leq Z \leq 0) = P(0 \leq Z \leq 2) = 0.4772. \end{aligned}$$

#### (2) Parameters

$\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \implies \mathbf{x} \sim (\mu, \Sigma)$ .

The above is true when  $\Sigma > 0$ .

When  $\Sigma$  is singular,  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  and  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I)$   $\implies \mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mu$  and  $\mathbf{z} \sim (0, I)$ .

Thus  $E(\mathbf{x}) = E(A\mathbf{z} + \mu) = A\mathbf{0} + \mu = \mu$  and  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Cov}(A\mathbf{z} + \mu) = AIA' = \Sigma$ .

**Comment:** To have  $E(\mathbf{x})$ , the joint pdf for  $\mathbf{x}$  is not a necessary condition. It is only required to have marginal pdfs for each components of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

To have  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{x})$ , the joint pdf is not a necessary condition. It is only required to have marginal pdfs for all  $\begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ X_j \end{pmatrix}$ .

3. Extended definition for independence

(1) Extended definition for independence

$\mathbf{x} \in R^p$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in R^q$  are independent if  $\mathbf{x} = g_1(\mathbf{u})$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = g_2(\mathbf{v})$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in R^{r_1}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in R^{r_2}$  are independent by  $f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = f_1(\mathbf{u}) f_2(\mathbf{v})$ .

By this definition, if  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are independent, then all functions of  $\mathbf{u}$  are independent to all functions of  $\mathbf{v}$ .

(2) Extended definiton

If  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are independent by the definition using the pdfs, then they are still independent by the extended definition.

(3) Relation to uncorrelation

If  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are independent, then  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are uncorrelated.

**Proof**  $X_i = g_{1i}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $Y_j = g_{2j}(\mathbf{v})$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i Y_j) &= \iint_{R^{r_1+r_2}} g_{1i}(\mathbf{u}) g_{2j}(\mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) du_1, \dots, du_{r_1} dv_1, \dots, dv_{r_2} \\ &= \iint_{R^{r_1}} g_{1i}(\mathbf{u}) f_1(\mathbf{u}) du_1, \dots, du_{r_1} \iint_{R^{r_2}} g_{2j}(\mathbf{v}) f_2(\mathbf{v}) dv_1, \dots, dv_{r_2} \\ &= E(X_i) E(Y_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{cov}(X_i, Y_j) = E(X_i Y_j) - E(X_i) E(Y_j) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$ .

(4) Suppose  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right)$ . Then

$\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are independent  $\iff \Sigma_{12} = 0 \iff \Sigma_{21} = 0$ .

**Proof** Only show  $\iff$ : When  $\Sigma_{12} = 0$  and  $\Sigma_{21} = 0$ ,  $\Sigma = \Sigma^{1/2} (\Sigma^{1/2})'$  where  $\Sigma^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11}^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22}^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$ .

So  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11}^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22}^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right)$ . So  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$

are independent. But  $\mathbf{x} = \Sigma_{11}^{1/2} \mathbf{z}_1 + \mu_1$  is a function of  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \Sigma_{22}^{1/2} \mathbf{z}_2 + \mu_2$  is a function of  $\mathbf{z}_2$ . Hence  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are independent.

**Ex3:** Random vector  $\mathbf{x} \in R^p$  has distribution  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Let  $A \in R^{m \times p}$  and  $B \in R^{n \times p}$ .

$A\mathbf{x} + \alpha$  and  $B\mathbf{x} + \beta$  are independent  $\iff A\Sigma B' = 0$ .

**Proof**  $\begin{pmatrix} A\mathbf{x} + \alpha \\ B\mathbf{x} + \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} A\mu + \alpha \\ B\mu + \beta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A\Sigma A' & A\Sigma B' \\ B\Sigma A' & B\Sigma B' \end{pmatrix} \right)$ .

So  $A\mathbf{x} + \alpha$  and  $B\mathbf{x} + \beta$  are independent if and only if  $A\Sigma B' = 0$ .