

## L19 Lack of fit test

### 1. Lack of fit test

#### (1) Lack of fit test

In the one-way ANOVA model  $y = \mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) + \epsilon$  the tests on

$$H_0 : \mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k$$

$$\text{versus } H_a : \mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) \neq \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k$$

$$\text{or } H_0 : \mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k$$

$$\text{versus } H_a : \mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) \neq \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k$$

are the lack of fit tests since  $H_a$  says there is lack of fit for regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon. \quad \text{or} \quad y = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon.$$

#### (2) Test statistic

Because both original and reduced models are regression models, the LRT statistic is

$$\text{Test Statistic: } F = \frac{(SSE_r - SSE)/(q-p)}{MSE}.$$

Here  $SSE$  is from the full model, the ANOVA model, and is now SSPE

$SSE_r$  is from the reduced model, the regression model, and is denoted as SSE

$SSE_r - SSE$  is  $SSE - SSPE$ , called SSLF

DF of SSLF = (DF of SSE) - (DF of SSPE) = (n-p) - (n-q) = q-p

Thus  $F = \frac{MSLF}{MSPE}$  where  $MSLF = SSLF/(q-p)$  and  $MSPE = SSPE/(n-q)$ .

#### (3) Decision rule

Reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_\alpha(q-p, n-q)$ .  $p$ -value:  $P(F(q-p, n-q) > F_{ob})$ .

### 2. Extended ANOVA table with decomposition of SSE

#### (1) For model with intercept

For  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$ ,  $p = k + 1$

| Source    | SS   | DF          | MS   | F         | P                         |
|-----------|------|-------------|------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Model     | SSM  | $p - 1 = k$ | MSM  | MSM/MSE   | $P(F(p-1, n-p) > F_{ob})$ |
| Error     | SSE  | $n - p$     | MSE  |           |                           |
| LackFit   | SSLF | $q - p$     | MSLF | MSLF/MSPE | $P(F(q-p, n-q) > F_{ob})$ |
| PureError | SSPE | $n - q$     | MSPE |           |                           |
| C.Total   | SSTO | $n - 1$     |      |           |                           |

#### (2) For model without intercept

For model  $y = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$ ,  $p = k$

| Source    | SS   | DF      | MS   | F         | P                         |
|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Model     | SSM  | $p = k$ | MSM  | MSM/MSE   | $P(F(p, n-p) > F_{ob})$   |
| Error     | SSE  | $n - p$ | MSE  |           |                           |
| LackFit   | SSLF | $q - p$ | MSLF | MSLF/MSPE | $P(F(q-p, n-q) > F_{ob})$ |
| PureError | SSPE | $n - q$ | MSPE |           |                           |
| U.Total   | SSTO | $n$     |      |           |                           |

### 3. Implementation of lack of fit test

#### (1) Use extended ANOVA table as tool

**Ex:** For ANOVA model  $y = \mu(x) + \epsilon$  with data  $\begin{array}{c|cccccc} x & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ y & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 \end{array}$  we obtained

SSPE=2.5 with DF=3. So MSLE= 0.83. For  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$

| Source    | SS   | DF | MS   | F    | P      |
|-----------|------|----|------|------|--------|
| Model     | 14.7 | 1  | 14.7 | 21   | 0.0102 |
| Error     | 2.8  | 4  | 0.7  |      |        |
| LackFit   | 0.3  | 1  | 0.3  | 0.36 | 0.5908 |
| PureError | 2.5  | 3  | 0.83 |      |        |
| C.Total   | 17.5 | 5  |      |      |        |

For  $y = \beta x + \epsilon$

| Source    | SS   | DF | MS    | F     | P    |
|-----------|------|----|-------|-------|------|
| Model     | 1    | 1  | 1     | 0.09  | 0.77 |
| Error     | 54   | 5  | 10.8  |       |      |
| LackFit   | 51.5 | 2  | 25.75 | 30.09 | 0.01 |
| PureError | 2.5  | 3  | 0.83  |       |      |
| U.Total   | 55   | 6  |       |       |      |

Thus

$H_0 : \mu(x) = \beta x$  versus  $H_a : \mu(x) \neq \beta x$   
 Test Statistics:  $F = \frac{MSLF}{MSPE}$   
 p-value:  $P(F(q-1, n-q) > F_{ob})$   
 $F_{ob} = 30.9$ , p-value:  $P(F(2, 3) > 30.9) = 0.01$   
 Reject  $H_0$ . Model  $y = \beta x + \epsilon$  lacks the fit.

(2) Use SAS to display the extended ANOVA table

```
data a;
  infile "D:\ex.txt";
  input y x1 x2 @@;
proc reg;
  model y=x1 x2/lackfit;
  run;
proc reg;
  model y=x1 x2/noint lackfit;
  run;
```

displays the extended ANOVA tables.

## L20 Type I SS

### 1. Type I SS for regression with intercept

#### (1) Definition

SAS: proc reg; model y=x1 x2 x3/ss1; run;  
attaches Type I SS in parameter table to each  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

| Model with                           | Test on             | Type I SS                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\beta_0$                            | $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$ | $SSI_0 = SSE(\emptyset) - SSE(\beta_0)$                                            |
| $\beta_1, \beta_0$                   | $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ | $SSI_1 = SSE(\beta_0) - SSE(\beta_1, \beta_0)$                                     |
| $\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0$          | $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ | $SSI_2 = SSE(\beta_1, \beta_0) - SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)$                   |
| $\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0$ | $H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$ | $SSI_3 = SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0) - SSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)$ |

#### (2) Usage

Numerator of test statistic  $F$

| Test on             | Test statistic                                              |                                                                 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_0}{MSE(\beta_0)}$                            | $= \frac{SSI_0}{SSE(\beta_0)/(n-1)}$                            |
| $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_1}{MSE(\beta_0)}$                            | $= \frac{SSI_1}{SSE(\beta_1, \beta_0)/(n-2)}$                   |
| $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_2}{MSE(\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)}$          | $= \frac{SSI_2}{SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)/(n-3)}$          |
| $H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_3}{MSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)}$ | $= \frac{SSI_3}{SSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)/(n-4)}$ |

#### (3) SSE in sequential models

The denominator of  $F$  depends on the  $SSE = SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_0)$  in the sequential models.

$SSE(\beta_0) = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = SSTO$  in the full model listed in ANOVA table.

$\sum_{i=1}^k SSI_i = SSE(\beta_0) - SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_0)$ . So  $SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_0) = SSTO - \sum_{i=1}^k SSI_i$ .

**Ex1:** With data in Table94.txt for model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$

proc reg; model y=x1 x2/noprint; test x2=0 run; produced

| Source      | DF | MS       | F    | Pr > F |
|-------------|----|----------|------|--------|
| Numerator   | 1  | 1.47716  | 0.12 | 0.7345 |
| Denominator | 9  | 12.06648 |      |        |

for test on  $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$  vs  $H_a : \beta_2 \neq 0$ .

proc reg; model y=x1 x2 x3/ss1; run; produced

$SSTO = 117.53684$  with  $DF = 11$

$SSI_0 = 1232.84168$ ,  $SSI_1 = 7.46139$ ,  $SSI_2 = 1.47716$ ,  $SSI_3 = 0.43322$ .

Thus  $SSE(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) = SSTO - (SSI_1 + SSI_2) = 108.59829$  with  $DF = 12 - 3 = 9$ .

So  $MSE(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) = 12.06648$  Hence  $F = \frac{SSI_2}{MSE(\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0)} = \frac{1.47716}{12.06648} = 0.1224$

### 2. Type I SS for regression without intercept

#### (1) Definition

SAS: proc reg; model y=x1 x2 x3/noint ss1; run;  
attaches Type I SS in parameter table to each  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

| Model with                  | Test on             | Type I SS                                                        |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\beta_1$                   | $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ | $SSI_1 = SSE(\emptyset) - SSE(\beta_1)$                          |
| $\beta_2, \beta_1$          | $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ | $SSI_2 = SSE(\beta_1) - SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1)$                   |
| $\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1$ | $H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$ | $SSI_3 = SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1) - SSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1)$ |

#### (2) Usage

Numerator of test statistic  $F$

| Test on             | Test statistic                                     |                                                        |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_1}{MSE(\beta_1)}$                   | $= \frac{SSI_1}{SSE(\beta_1)/(n-1)}$                   |
| $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_2}{MSE(\beta_2, \beta_1)}$          | $= \frac{SSI_2}{SSE(\beta_2, \beta_1)/(n-2)}$          |
| $H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$ | $F = \frac{SSI_3}{MSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1)}$ | $= \frac{SSI_3}{SSE(\beta_3, \beta_2, \beta_1)/(n-3)}$ |

(3) SSE in sequential models

The denominator of  $F$  depends on the  $SSE = SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_1)$  in the sequential models.

Note that  $SSE(\emptyset) = \sum y_i^2 = SSTO$  in the full model listed in ANOVA table.

$\sum_{i=1}^k SSI_i = SSE(\emptyset) - SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_1)$ . So  $SSE(\beta_k, \dots, \beta_1) = SSTO - \sum_{i=1}^k SSI_i$ .

**Ex2:** With data in Table94.txt for model  $y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$

proc reg; model y=x1 x2/noint noprint; test x2=0 run; produced

| Source      | DF | MS        | F    | Pr > F |
|-------------|----|-----------|------|--------|
| Numerator   | 1  | 119.58182 | 1.76 | 0.2144 |
| Denominator | 10 | 68.03391  |      |        |

for test on  $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$  vs  $H_a : \beta_2 \neq$

0.

proc reg; model y=x1 x2 x3/noint ss1; run; produced

$SSTO = 1350.37852$  with  $DF = 12$

$SSI_1 = 550.45760$ ,  $SSI_2 = 119.58182$ ,  $SSI_3 = 334.93396$ .

Thus  $SSE(\beta_1, \beta_2) = SSTO - (SSI_1 + SSI_2) = 680.3391$  with  $DF = 12 - 2 = 10$ .

So  $MSE(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 68.03391$  Hence  $F = \frac{SSI_2}{MSE(\beta_2, \beta_1)} = \frac{119.58182}{68.03391} = 1.7577$

### 3. Confidence region for $\beta$

(1)  $\frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'(X'X)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)/p}{MSE} \sim F(p, n - p)$ .

**Proof.**  $H_0 : A\beta = b$  vs  $H_a : A\beta \neq b$   
 Test statistic:  $F = \frac{(A\hat{\beta} - b)'[A(X'X)^{-1}A']^{-1}/q}{MSE}$  is an  $\alpha$ -level test since  
 Reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_\alpha(q, n - p)$

$P(F > F_\alpha(q, n - p) | H_0) = \alpha$ . Hence  $F \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F(q, n - p)$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{(A\hat{\beta} - A\beta)'[A(X'X)^{-1}A']^{-1}(A\hat{\beta} - A\beta)/q}{MSE} \sim F(q, n - p).$$

Let  $A = I_p$ . Then  $\frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'(X'X)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)/p}{MSE} \sim F(p, n - p)$ .

(2) Confidence region for  $\beta$ .

Let  $CR = \left\{ \beta : \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'(X'X)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)/p}{MSE} < F_\alpha(p, n - p) \right\}$ . Then  $CR$  is a confidence region for  $\beta$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha$  since  $P(CR) = 1 - \alpha$ .

**Comment:** CR is an ellipsoid in  $R^p$ .