L05 t-tests

1. t-tests

Suppose θ is estimated by $\hat{\theta}$ and $\frac{\hat{\theta}-\theta}{S_{\hat{\theta}}} \sim t(k)$.

(1) by rejection region

$$\begin{array}{l} H_0: \ \theta \geq \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta < \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{Reject} \ H_0 \ \mathrm{if} \ t < -t_{\alpha}(k) \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} H_0: \ \theta \leq \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta > \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{Reject} \ H_0 \ \mathrm{if} \ t < -t_{\alpha}(k) \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} H_0: \ \theta = \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta \neq \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{Reject} \ H_0 \ \mathrm{if} \ t > t_{\alpha/2}(k) \end{array}$$

are lower-sided alternative, upper-sided alternative and two-sided alternative α -level test **Proof.** Consider the first lower-sided alternative test with lower-sided rejection region.

Note that under
$$H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0 \iff \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \le \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}}$$

 $\iff P\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} < c\right) \le P\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \le c\right) \text{ for all } c.$
So, $P(\text{Type I error}) = P(\text{Rejecting } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true}) = P\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} < -t_{\alpha}(k) | H_0\right)$
 $\le P\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} < -t_{\alpha}(k)\right) = P(t(k) \le -t_{\alpha}(k)) = \alpha.$

Thus the lower-sided alternative test is an α -level test.

Comment: The lower-sided alternative test has lower-sided rejection region, The upper-sided alternative test has upper-sided rejection region and the two-sided alternative test has two-sided rejection region.

(2) by p-value

$$\begin{array}{c|c} H_0: \ \theta \geq \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta < \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{p-value:} \ P\left(t(k) < t_{ob}\right) \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H_0: \ \theta \leq \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta > \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{p-value:} \ P\left(t(k) > t_{ob}\right) \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} H_0: \ \theta = \theta_0 \ \mathrm{vs} \ H_a: \ \theta \neq \theta_0 \\ \mathrm{Test \ statistic:} \ t = \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} \\ \mathrm{p-value:} \ 2P\left(t(k) > |t_{ob}|\right) \end{array} \end{array}$$

are the tests equivalent to that in (1).

Proof. Consider the second upper-sided alternative test.

 $\begin{array}{l} H_0 \text{ is rejected by using } \alpha \text{-level rejection region} \\ \Longleftrightarrow \quad t_{ob} > t_{\alpha}(k) \Longleftrightarrow P\left(t(k) > t_{ob}\right) < P(t(k) > t_{\alpha}(k)) = \alpha \iff \text{p-value} < \alpha \\ \iff \quad H_0 \text{ is rejected by using p-value.} \end{array}$

Comment: Lower-sided alternative test has lower-sided probability as p-value.

Upper-sided alternative test has upper-sided probability as p-value.

Two-sided alternative test has two sided probability as p-value since

$$2P(t(k) > |t_{ob}|) = P(t(k) < -|t_{ob}|) + P(t(k) > |t_{ob}|).$$

- 2. *t*-tests in $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$
 - (1) Practical needs

After confirming the usefulness of the model, there may be still many questions need to be answered. For example

Is E(y(x)) and increasing function of $x? \iff$ Is $H_a: \beta_1 > 0$ true? $E(y(0)) = 5? \iff H_0: \beta_0 = 5$ true? $E(y(3)) \ge 10? \iff H_0: E(y(3)) \ge 10$ true?

(2) Framework

By the framework in 1 and $\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{S_{\hat{\beta}_0}} \sim t(n-2)$, $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{S_{\hat{\beta}_1}} \sim t(n-2)$, $\frac{\hat{y}(x_0) - E(y(x_0))}{S_{\hat{y}(x_0)}} \sim t(n-2)$, there are three types tests on each of β_0 , β_1 and $E(y(x_0)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0$ by rejection region and by p-value.

- (3) To carry out the tests $\widehat{\beta}_0$, $S_{\widehat{\beta}_0}$; $\widehat{\beta}_1$, $S_{\widehat{\beta}_1}$; and $\widehat{y}(x_0)$, $S_{\widehat{y}(x_0)}$ are needed.
 - **Ex1:** Based on a sample of size n = 20 one obtains $\hat{\beta}_1 = -37$ and $S_{\hat{\beta}_1} = 3$. Is the regression function a decreasing function of x? Support your conclusion by a test at level 0.05.

From App
$$t_{0.05}(18) = 1.734$$
.
 $H_0: \beta_1 \ge 0 \text{ vs } H_a: \beta_1 < 0$
Test statistic $t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{S_{\hat{\beta}_1}}$
Rejet H_0 if $t < -1.734$ for $\alpha = 0.05$
 $t_{ob} = \frac{-37}{3} = -12$
Reject $H_0. E(y(x))$ is a decreasing function of x

Comment: t-test and F-test on H_0 : $\beta_1 = 0$ are equivalent, i.e., they produce the same *p*-value, and reach the same conclusion.

- 3. *t*-tests in $y = \beta x + \epsilon$
 - (1) By the framework in 1 and $\frac{\hat{\beta}-\beta}{S_{\hat{\beta}}} \sim t(n-1)$ and $\frac{\hat{y}(x_0)-E(y)(x_0)}{S_{\hat{y}(x_0)}} \sim t(n-1)$ there are three types of tests on each of β and $E(y(x_0))$ by rejection regions or by p-values.
 - (2) To carry out the tests $\widehat{\beta}$ and $S_{\widehat{\beta}}$; $\widehat{y}(x_0)$ and $S_{\widehat{y}(x_0)}$ are needed.

Ex2: A sample for the model without intercept produced

$$n = 4, \sum x = 2, \sum y = 14, \sum x^2 = 6, \sum y^2 = 54, \sum xy = 4.$$

For future $x_0 = 2$ test H_0 : $E(y(2)) = 3.$

Computing
$$MSE = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum xy)^2}{\sum x^2} \right] = 17.1111, \ \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum xy}{\sum x^2} = 0.6667$$

 $\hat{y}(2) = \hat{\beta} \times 2 = 1.333, \ S_{\hat{y}(2)}^2 = MSE \frac{2^2}{\sum x^2} = 3.38^2$
 $H_0: E(y(2)) = 3 \text{ versus } H_a: E(y(2)) \neq 3$
Test Statistic: $t = \frac{\hat{y}(2) - 3}{S_{\hat{y}(2)}}$
p-value: $2P(t(n-1) > |t_{ob}|)$
 $t_{pb} = \frac{1.333 - 3}{3.38} = -0.49, \text{ p-value}$
p-value: $2P(t(3) > 0.49) = 2 \times 0.329 = 0.658$
Fail to reject H_0 at the level 0.65
Data do not show evidence against the claim that $E(y(2)) = 3$

L06: t-intervals

- 1. t-intervals and their relations to t-tests Suppose θ is estimated by $\hat{\theta}$ and $\frac{\hat{\theta}-\theta}{s_{\hat{\theta}}} \sim t(k)$.
 - (1) *t*-confidence intervals for θ with confidence coefficient 1α
 - (i) Two-sided CI: $\hat{\theta} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(k)s_{\hat{\theta}}$ is a 1α two-sided CI for θ . (ii) Lower-sided CI: $\begin{pmatrix} -\infty, \hat{\theta} + t_{\alpha}(k)s_{\hat{\theta}} \end{pmatrix}$ is a 1α lower-sided CI for θ . (iii) Upper-sided CI: $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\theta} t_{\alpha}(k)s_{\hat{\theta}}, \infty \end{pmatrix}$ is a 1α upper-sided CI for θ .

Proof. (i) Need to show $P\left(\widehat{\theta} - t_{\alpha/2}(k)s_{\widehat{\theta}} < \theta < \widehat{\theta} + t_{\alpha/2}(k)s_{\widehat{\theta}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &= P(-t_{\alpha/2}(k) < t(k) < t_{\alpha/2}(k)) = P\left(-t_{\alpha/2}(k) < \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{s_{\widehat{\theta}}} < t_{\alpha/2}(k)\right) \\ &= P\left(\widehat{\theta} - t_{\alpha/2}(k)s_{\widehat{\theta}} < \theta < \widehat{\theta} + t_{\alpha/2}(k)s_{\widehat{\theta}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Need to show $P\left(-\infty < \theta < \hat{\theta} + t_{\alpha}(k)s_{\hat{\theta}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$.

$$1 - \alpha = P(-t_{\alpha}(k) < t(k) < \infty) = P\left(-t_{\alpha}(k) < \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{s_{\widehat{\theta}}} < \infty\right)$$
$$= P\left(-\infty < \theta < \widehat{\theta} + t_{\alpha}(k)s_{\widehat{\theta}}\right).$$

(iii) Skipped

(2) Relations of $1 - \alpha$ CI and α -level test

(i) θ_0 is in $1 - \alpha$ two-sided CI $\iff \alpha$ -level test on $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ fails to reject H_0 (ii) θ_0 is in $1 - \alpha$ lower-sided CI $\iff \alpha$ -level test on $H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0$ fails to reject H_0 (iii) θ_0 is in $1 - \alpha$ upper-sided CI $\iff \alpha$ -level test on $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ fails to reject H_0 θ_0 is in $1 - \alpha$ two-sided confidence interval for θ Proof. (i) $\begin{array}{ll} \Longleftrightarrow & \theta_0 \in \widehat{\theta} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(k) S_{\widehat{\theta}} \longleftrightarrow -t_{\alpha/2}(k) < \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}} < t_{\alpha/2}(k) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \alpha \text{-level test on } H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ fails to reject } H_0 \end{array}$

- θ_0 is in 1α lower-sided CI for θ (ii) $\iff -\infty < \theta_0 < \widehat{\theta} + t_\alpha(k) S_{\widehat{\theta}} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0}{S_{\widehat{\theta}}}$ $\iff \alpha$ -level test on $H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0$ fails to reject H_0
- (iii) Skipped

2. *t*-intervals in $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$

(1) Practical needs

After F-test confirms the usefulness of the model, many estimation problems arise. For example, when x increases by 1, we may need to estimate the increment in E(y) by a confidence interval, i.e., a confidence interval for β_1 .

We always want to have an interval estimate for the mean of a future response when $x = x_0$, a confidence interval for $E(y(x_0)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0$.

(2) Framework

Based on the framework in 1 and

$$\frac{\widehat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{S_{\widehat{\beta}_0}} \sim t(n-2), \ \frac{\widehat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{S_{\widehat{\beta}_1}} \sim t(n-2) \text{ and } \frac{\widehat{y}(x_0) - E(y(x_0))}{S_{\widehat{y}(x_0)}} \sim t(n-2),$$

there are three types confidence intervals for β_0 , β_1 and $E(y(x_0))$. For example

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\infty, \hat{\beta}_0 + t_\alpha (n-2)S_{\hat{\beta}_0} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a } 1 - \alpha \text{ lower-sided CI for } \beta_0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 - t_\alpha (n-2)S_{\hat{\beta}_1}, \infty \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a } 1 - \alpha \text{ upper-sided CI for } \beta_1 \\ \hat{y}(x_0) \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2)S_{\hat{y}(x_0)} \text{ is a } 1 - \alpha \text{ two-sided CI for } E(y(x_0))$$

Ex1: Based on a sample of size n = 20 one obtains $\hat{\beta}_1 = -37$ and $S_{\hat{\beta}_1} = 3$. Find a lower-sided confidence coefficient for β_1 with confidence coefficient 0.95. $1 - \alpha = 0.95 \Longrightarrow \alpha = 0.05 \Longrightarrow t_{\alpha}(n-2) = 1.734$ $\left(-\infty, \hat{\beta}_1 + t_{\alpha}(n-2)S_{\hat{\beta}_1}\right) = (-\infty, -37 + 1.734 \times 3) = (-\infty, -31.798)$

- is a 95% CI for β_1 .
- (3) Relations to α -level tests The relations of α -level tests and $1 - \alpha$ CI hold. For example

- 3. *t*-intervals in $y = \beta x + \epsilon$
 - (1) Confidence intervals

By the framework in 1 and

$$\frac{\widehat{\beta} - \beta}{S_{\widehat{\beta}}} \sim t(n-1) \text{ and } \frac{\widehat{y}(x_0) - E(y(x_0))}{S_{\widehat{y}(x_0)}} \sim t(n-1)$$

there are lower-sided, upper-sided and two-sided confidence intervals for β and for $E(y(x_0)) = \beta x_0$

Ex2: A sample for the model without intercept produced

$$\begin{split} n &= 4, \ \sum x = 2, \ \sum y = 14, \ \sum x^2 = 6, \ \sum y^2 = 54, \ \sum xy = 4. \\ \text{For a 95\% confidence interval for } E(y(2)). \\ \widehat{y}(2) &= \widehat{\beta} \times 2 = 1.333, \ S^2_{\widehat{y}(3)} = 3.38^2 \\ t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) &= t_{0.025}(3) = 3.182 \end{split}$$

 $\hat{y}(2) \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)S_{\hat{y}(2)} = 1.333 \pm 3.182 \times 3.38 = 1.333 \pm 10.755 = (-9.422, 12.088)$ is a 95% CI for E(y(2)).

(2) The relation of α -level tests and $1 - \alpha$ CI holds For example Ex2 in L05 shows p-value=0.65 for test on H_0 : E(y(2)) = 3. So H_0 is rejected at $\alpha = 0.05$. Hence 3 is in 95% CI for E(y(2)). This is the conclusion of Ex2 here in L06. What if $\alpha = 0.15$, 0.25, 0.45?