

1. The usefulness of the model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \epsilon$ is confirmed by an F-test for the significance for regression based on a sample of $n = 20$. The following statistics are also obtained.

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = 2628, S_{\hat{\beta}_0} = 44, \hat{\beta}_1 = -37, S_{\hat{\beta}_1} = 3, \hat{y}(10) = 2258 \text{ and } S_{\hat{y}(10)} = 23.6.$$

Keep 3 digits after decimal point for all final computation results

- (1) Find a 95% lower-sided CI for β_0 .

$$\hat{\beta}_0 + t_{0.05}(18)S_{\hat{\beta}_0} = 2628 + 1.734 \times 44 = 2704.296. \text{ So}$$

$(-\infty, 2704.296)$ is a 95% lower-sided CI for β_0 .

- (2) Find a 95% upper-sided CI for β_1 .

$$\hat{\beta}_1 - t_{0.05}(18)S_{\hat{\beta}_1} = -37 - 1.734 \times 3 = -42.202. \text{ So}$$

$(-42.202, \infty)$ is a 95% upper-sided CI for β_1 .

- (3) Find a 95% CI for $E[y(10)]$.

$$\hat{y}(10) \pm t_{0.025}(18)S_{\hat{y}(10)} = 2258 \pm 2.101 \times 23.6 = 2258 \pm 49.584 = (2208.416, 2307.584) \text{ So}$$

$(2208.416, 2307.584)$ is a 95% CI for $E(y(10))$.

2. For $y = \beta x + \epsilon$, $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, a sample of size $n = 8$ produced $\hat{y}(0.65) = 0.4045$ and $S_{\hat{y}(0.65)} = 0.0920$.

For final results of computations keep 4 digits after decimal points.

- (1) Test $H_0 : E[y(0.65)] \geq 1$ vs $H_a : E[y(0.65)] < 1$ using rejection region with level 0.05.

$H_0 : E[y(0.65)] \geq 1$ versus $H_a : E[y(0.65)] < 1$ Test statistic $t = \frac{\hat{y}(0.65)-1}{S_{\hat{y}(0.65)}}$ Reject H_0 if $t < -1.895$ for $\alpha = 0.05$ $t = \frac{0.4045-1}{0.0920} = -6.4728$ Reject H_0 . We conclude that $E[y(0.65)] < 1$.

(2) Test $H_0 : E[y(0.65)] \leq 2$ vs $H_a : E[y(0.65)] > 2$ using p-value.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H_0 : E[y(0.65)] \leq 2 \text{ versus } H_a : E[y(0.65)] > 2 \\
 &\text{Test statistic } t = \frac{\hat{y}(0.65) - 2}{S_{\hat{y}(0.65)}} \\
 &\text{p-value: } P(t(n-1) > t_{ob}) \\
 &t = \frac{0.4045 - 2}{0.0920} = -17.3424 \\
 &\text{p-value: } P(t(7) > -17.3424) \approx 1 \\
 &\text{Fail to reject } H_0. \\
 &\text{Data shows that } E[y(0.65)] \leq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) Perform t-test on $H_0 : E[y(0.65)] = 0$ vs $H_a : E[y(0.65)] \neq 0$ using p-value.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H_0 : E[y(0.65)] = 0 \text{ versus } H_a : E[y(0.65)] \neq 0 \\
 &\text{Test statistic } t = \frac{\hat{y}(0.65)}{S_{\hat{y}(0.65)}} \\
 &\text{p-value: } 2P(t(n-1) > |t_{ob}|) \\
 &t = \frac{0.4045}{0.0920} = 4.3967 \\
 &\text{p-value: } 2P(t(7) > 4.3967) = 2 \times 0.002 = 0.004 \\
 &\text{Reject } H_0. \\
 &\text{Conclude that } E[y(0.65)] \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$