

L17 Two-sample tests

1. Three matrices

(1) Model error matrix E

In the two-sample problem μ_x and μ_y are estimated by \bar{X} and \bar{Y} . Thus

$$\text{CSSCP}_x + \text{CSSCP}_y = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})' + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_j - \bar{Y})(Y_j - \bar{Y})'$$

measures the model error and is denoted by E .

(2) Reduced model error matrix E_0

Under $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0$, $\mu_x = \mu_y + \delta_0$. Thus $(X - \delta_0 1'_{n_1}, Y) \in R^{p \times n}$ is a r. s. from $N(\mu_y, \Sigma)$ and μ_y is estimated by $\hat{\mu}_y = \frac{(\bar{X} - \delta_0)n_1}{n} + \frac{\bar{Y}n_2}{n} = \bar{Y} + \frac{n_1}{n}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)$. Let $h = \bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu}_y &= \bar{Y} + \frac{n_1}{n}h \\ \hat{\mu}_x &= \hat{\mu}_y + \delta_0 = \bar{Y} + \frac{n_1 - n_2}{n}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0) + \delta_0 = \bar{X} - \frac{n_2}{n}h. \end{cases}$$

Thus for the model reduced by H_0 , the error matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \hat{\mu}_x)(X_i - \hat{\mu}_x)' + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_y)(Y_j - \hat{\mu}_y)' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [(X_i - \bar{X}) + \frac{n_2}{n}h] [(X_i - \bar{X}) + \frac{n_2}{n}h]' + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} [(Y_j - \bar{Y}) - \frac{n_1}{n}h] [(Y_j - \bar{Y}) - \frac{n_1}{n}h]' \\ &= E + \frac{n_1 n_2}{n^2} h h' + \frac{n_2 n_2}{n^2} h h' = E + \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} h h'. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Matrix H

The difference between E and E_0 is caused by the hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0$.

So we write $E_0 = E + H$ where

$$H = \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)'.$$

2. Likelihood ratio tests

(1) Likelihood ratio

It has been shown the $\max[L(\mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma) : \mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma] = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi e}\right)^{np/2} |E|^{-n/2}$.

So under $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0$, $\max[L(\mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma) : H_0] = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi e}\right)^{np/2} |E_0|^{-n/2}$.

Thus the likelihood ratio

$$LR = \frac{\max[L(\mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma) : H_0]}{\max[L(\mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma) : \mu_x, \mu_y, \Sigma]} = \left(\frac{|E|}{|E_0|}\right)^{n/2}$$

is an increasing function of $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E_0|}$ called Wilks Lambda.

(2) Likelihood ratio tests

By intuition one would reject H_0 when LR is small, equivalently when Λ is small. Therefore, the followings are likelihood ratio tests.

$$H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: LR} = \left(\frac{|E|}{|E_0|}\right)^{n/2}$$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \text{LR} < c_1.$$

$$H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } \Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E_0|}$$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \Lambda < c_2.$$

(3) Comments

To make the above tests α -level tests, c_1 and c_2 must be selected such that

$$P(LR < c_1 | H_0) \leq \alpha \text{ and } P(\Lambda < \Lambda_{ob} | H_0) \leq \alpha.$$

For doing so we have to know the distributions of the test statistics under H_0 , called the null distributions.

3. α -level LRT

(1) T^2 with known null distribution

For $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0$, let $T^2 = (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)$.

By 1 (2) in L16, under H_0 , $T^2 \sim T^2(p, n - 2)$.

(2) Λ is a decreasing function of T^2

Note that $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\frac{n_1 n_2}{n} h' \\ h & E \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot |E + \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} h h'| = |E + H| = |E_0|$. But we also

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\frac{n_1 n_2}{n} h' \\ h & E \end{vmatrix} = |E| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} h' E^{-1} h \right) = |E| \left(1 + \frac{h' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} h}{n-2} \right) = |E| \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{n-2} \right).$$

Thus $|E + H| = |E| \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{n-2} \right)$.

So $\Lambda = \frac{|E|}{|E+H|} = \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{n-2} \right)^{-1} \iff T^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} - 1 \right) (n - 2)$

are decreasing functions each other. Thus T^2 can be used as a LRT statistic.

(3) α -level LRT

$$\begin{aligned} &H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0 \\ &\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0) \\ &\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } T^2 > T_{\alpha}^2(p, n - 2). \end{aligned}$$

If Λ_{ob} is given, then $T_{ob}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{ob}} - 1 \right) (n - 2)$.

Since under H_0 , $T^2 \sim T^2(p, n - 2) = \frac{(n-2)p}{n-p-1} F(p, n - p - 1)$,

$$T_{\alpha}^2(p, n - 2) = \frac{(n - 2)p}{n - p - 1} F_{\alpha}(p, n - p - 1).$$

(4) Test by p -value

$$\begin{aligned} &H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0 \\ &\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0) \\ &p\text{-value: } P(T^2(p, n - 2) > T_{ob}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since under H_0 , $T^2 \sim T^2(p, n - 2) = \frac{(n-2)p}{n-p-1} F(p, n - p - 1)$,

$$F_{ob} = \frac{n - p - 1}{(n - 2)p} T_{ob}^2 \text{ and } P(T^2(p, n - 2) > T_{ob}^2) = P(F(p, n - p - 1) > F_{ob}).$$

L18 Two-sample test implementation

1. Two-sample tests

$N(\mu_x, \Sigma)$ and $N(\mu_y, \Sigma)$ are two populations.

- (1) Testing on $\mu_x - \mu_y \in R^p$

$$H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)$$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } T^2 > T_{\alpha}^2(p, n - 2).$$

$$H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : \mu_x - \mu_y \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} S_p \right)^{-1} (\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0)$$

$$p\text{-value: } P(T^2(p, n - 2) > T_{ob}^2).$$

- (2) Testing on $L(\mu_x - \mu_y) \in R^q$

Transformed populations $LN(\mu_x, \Sigma) = N(L\mu_x, L\Sigma L')$ and $LN(\mu_y, \Sigma) = N(L\mu_y, L\Sigma L')$ have transformed samples $L(X, Y) = (LX, LY) \in R^{q \times n}$ with means $L\bar{X}$ and $L\bar{Y}$; and pooled estimator for $L\Sigma L'$, $LS_p L'$. So

$$H_0 : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = [L(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0]' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} LS_p L' \right)^{-1} [L(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0]$$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } T^2 > T_{\alpha}^2(q, n - 2).$$

$$H_0 : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) = \delta_0 \text{ vs } H_a : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) \neq \delta_0$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } T^2 = [L(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0]' \left(\frac{n}{n_1 n_2} LS_p L' \right)^{-1} [L(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0]$$

$$p\text{-value: } P(T^2(q, n - 2) > T_{ob}^2).$$

2. Data modification

- (1) proc anova

SAS procedure proc anova with entered two samples from $N(\mu_x, \Sigma)$ and $N(\mu_y, \Sigma)$ can produce information on the testing on $H_0 : \mu_x = \mu_y$.

- (2) Data modification for $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0$

Note that $H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \delta_0 \iff \mu_x = \mu_y + \delta_0$.

Thus we can keep the sample from $N(\mu_x, \Sigma)$, but modify the sample from $N(\mu_y, \Sigma)$ to that from $N(\mu_y + \delta_0, \Sigma)$.

Ex1: Suppose file ex.txt contains four variables x1, x2, x3 and sname= $\begin{cases} \text{AB} & \text{First sample} \\ \text{CD} & \text{Second sample} \end{cases}$.

$$\text{For } H_0 : \mu_x - \mu_y = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

```
data a;
  infile "D\ex.txt";
  input x1 x2 x3 sname $ @@;
  if sname='CD' then do;
    x1=x1-1;
    x2=x2+2;
    x3=x3-3;
  end;
```

- (3) Data modification for $H_0 : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) = \delta_0$
 First transform the two samples from $N(\mu_x, \Sigma)$ and $N(\mu_y, \Sigma)$ to that from $LN(\mu_x, \Sigma)$ and $LN(\mu_y, \Sigma)$. Then according to $H_0 : L(\mu_x - \mu_y) = \delta_0 \iff L\mu_x = L\mu_y + \delta_0$ modify the second transformed sample accordingly.

Ex2: For $H_0 : \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{x1} - \mu_{x2} \\ \mu_{x2} + \mu_{x3} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{y1} - \mu_{y2} \\ \mu_{y2} + \mu_{y3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \iff L\mu_x = L\mu_y + \delta_0$ where $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
 and $\delta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$,

```
data a;
  infile "D\ex.txt";
  input x1 x2 x3 sname $ @@;
  y1=x1-x2;
  y2=x2+x3;
  if sname="CD" then do;
  y1=y1+1;
  y2=y2+2;
```

3. Use SAS

- (1) SAS procedure and output

```
proc anova;
  class sname;
  model x1 x2 x3=sname/nouni;
  manova h=sname;
  run;
```

Statistics	Value	F-value	Num DF	Den DF	Pr>F
Wilks' Lambda	0.7200	0.19	2	1	0.8485
Pillai's Trace	0.2800	0.19	2	1	0.8485
Hotellig-Lawley Trace	0.3889	0.19	2	1	0.8485
Roy's Greatest Root	0.3889	0.19	2	1	0.8485

- (2) T_{ob}^2 and p -value
 Recall: $T^2 = (\frac{1}{\Lambda} - 1)(n - 2)$. Λ is displayed in the output.
 p -value: $P(T^2(q, n - 2) > T_{ob}^2) = P(F(q, n - q - 1) > \frac{n-q-1}{(n-2)q} T_{ob}^2) = P(F(q, n - q - 1) > F_{ob})$.
 SAS displays Numerator DF, Denominator DF, F_{ob} and p -value.
- (3) Four statistics
 The same information can be derived from other three statistics because of the relation of them in two-sample case.

Let $E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2} = Q\Gamma Q'$ be EVD where $\Gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p)$ with $\gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_p > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{R-root} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_1 \\
 \text{H-L-trace} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}(HE^{-1}) = \text{tr}(E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2}) = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_p \stackrel{*}{=} \gamma_1 \\
 & \text{since rank}(HE^{-1}) = \text{rank}(H) = \text{rank}\left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{n} hh'\right) = \text{tr}(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \delta_0) = 1 \\
 \text{P-trace} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}[H(E + H)^{-1}] = \dots = \frac{\gamma_1}{1 + \gamma_1} + \dots + \frac{\gamma_p}{1 + \gamma_p} = \frac{\gamma_1}{1 + \gamma_1} \\
 \Lambda & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{|E|}{|E + H|} = |E^{1/2}| |(E + H)^{-1}| |E^{-1/2}| = |E^{1/2}(E + H)^{-1}E^{1/2}| \\
 & = |(I - E^{-1/2}HE^{-1/2})^{-1}| = |[Q(I + \Gamma)Q']^{-1}| = |(I + \Gamma)^{-1}| \\
 & = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_1} \dots \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_p} = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$